Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

			Application Number	40/747	795	
ัยRANSMITTAL		H	Application Number	10/717		
AN 3 1 7007 H FORM			Filing Date		11/20/2003	
(to be used/for all correspondence after initial filing)			First Named Inventor		Richard Veil et al.	
A THADENAME			Art Unit		2836	
			Examiner Name		Robert L. Deberadinis	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	4965-0	4965-000168	
		ENCLOS	URES (check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s)		_	After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
☐ Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Prop	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Stat	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		!	Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Fee(s) Transmittal (PTOL-85); and postcard.	
Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application				·	.,	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNA	TURE OF AF	PPLICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGEN	Т	
Firm or Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.		Attorney Name Christopher M. Brock		Reg. No. 27313	
Signature Unifoglis The Brolle						
Date January 31, 2007						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
	nt postage as first of	class mail in			ted with the United States Postal ner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Typed or printed name Christopher M		1. Brock	2. /	Express Mail Label No.	EV 757 778 851 US (1/31/2007)	
Signature Church			In Broce	Date	January 31, 2007	
This collection of information	on is required by 37 CEP	1.5 the informati	ion is required to obtain or retain a b	anofit by the a	phic which is to file (and by the LISPTO to	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/717,785

Notice of Allowance Dated

11/17/2006

Filing Date:

11/20/2003

Applicants:

Richard Veil et al.

Group Art Unit:

2836

Examiner:

Robert L. Deberadinis

Title:

Safety Switching Module And Method For Testing The

Switching-Off Ability Of A Switching Element In A Safety

Switching Module

Attorney Docket:

4965-000168

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Sir:

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 1-17 in the present application. Reasons for Allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear [the Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. While Applicants believe the

claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: January 31, 2007

By: Christopher M. Brock Reg. No. 27313

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

matter independent of any other claim.

CMB:bg